JEFFERSON COUNTY ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

Don Carroll, Chair; Dale Weis, Vice-Chair; Janet Sayre Hoeft, Secretary; Paul Hynek, First Alternate; Randy Mitchell, Second Alternate

<u>PUBLIC HEARING</u> BEGINS AT **1:00 P.M.** ON THURSDAY, DECEMBER 13, 2012, ROOM 205, JEFFERSON COUNTY COURTHOUSE

<u>CALL TO ORDER FOR BOARD MEMBERS</u> IS AT 10:45 A.M. IN COURTHOUSE ROOM 203, PRIOR TO THE HEARING

<u>SITE INSPECTION FOR BOARD MEMBERS</u> LEAVES AT 11:00 A.M. FROM COURTHOUSE ROOM 203, PRIOR TO THE HEARING

1. Call to Order-Room 203 at 10:45 a.m.

No quorum – meeting was not called to order.

2. Site Inspections – Beginning at 11:00 a.m. and Leaving from Room 203

No site inspections were conducted.

3. Public Hearing – Beginning at 1:00 p.m. in Room 205

Meeting called to order @ 1:00 p.m. by Hoeft

4. Roll Call

Members present: Carroll, Hoeft, Hynek

Members absent: Weis

... VV C13

Staff: Laurie Miller

Notice of Public Hearing read into the record by Hynek.

5. Certification of Compliance with Open Meetings Law Requirements

Hoeft acknowledged publication. Staff also presented proof of publication.

6. Review of Agenda

Carroll made motion, seconded by Hynek, motion carried 3-0 to approve the review of the agenda.

- 7. **Approval of November 8, 2012 Meeting Minutes** Deferred to the end of the hearing.
- **8.** Communications None
- 9. Explanation of Process by Board of Adjustment Chair

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING JEFFERSON COUNTY ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Jefferson County Zoning Board of Adjustment will conduct a public hearing at 1:00 p.m. on Thursday, December 13, 2012 in Room 205 of the Jefferson County Courthouse, Jefferson, Wisconsin. The matter to be heard is an application for variance from terms of the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance. No variance may be granted which would have the effect of allowing in any district a use not permitted in that district. No variance may be granted which would have the effect of allowing a use of land or property which would violate state laws or administrative rules. Subject to the above limitations, variances may be granted where strict enforcement of the terms of the ordinance results in an unnecessary hardship and where a variance in the standards will allow the spirit of the ordinance to be observed, substantial justice to be accomplished and the public interest not violated. Based upon the findings of fact, the Board of Adjustment must conclude that: 1) Unnecessary hardship is present in that a literal enforcement of the terms of the ordinance would unreasonably prevent the owner from using the property for a permitted purpose or would render conformity with such restrictions unnecessarily burdensome; 2) The hardship is due to unique physical limitations of the property rather than circumstances of the applicant; 3) The variance will not be contrary to the public interest as expressed by the purpose and intent of the zoning ordinance. PETITIONERS, OR THEIR REPRESENTATIVES, SHALL BE **PRESENT.** There may be a site inspection prior to public hearing which any interested parties may attend; a decision shall be rendered after public hearing on the following:

<u>V1400-12 – Diana McClain:</u> Variance from Sec. 11.04(f)2, the Residential R-2 zoning controls, and 11.03(f), use restrictions. A variance is being requested to these sections to allow a use in the R-2 zone that is not listed in 11.04(f)2 and is therefore prohibited according to 11.03(f) for the operation of a dog kennel, dog boarding and dog day care. The site is at **N1601 W Ra-Le Drive** in the Town of Koshkonong, on PIN 016-0514-1511-011 (0.475 Acre)

Dian McClain presented her petition. Speaking in favor was Randy McClain, Lu Schwemmer, Louise Jones, Anthony Swantz, Roger Ellingsrud, Mark Pollicks, Sarah Woods, and John Strom. There were no questions or comments in opposition of the petition.

There was a town response in the file approving the petition with conditions which was read into the record by Hynek. Staff report was given by Staff.

Hyek asked the staff to address the personal dogs. Staff explained Hoeft questioned the petitioner if there were any unusual aspects of the property that would figure into her request. Hoeft also questioned the petitioner that if the request was denied, could it still be used for it's permitted purpose. Carroll explained the purpose of the Board of Adjustment and the decision process. Hynek expounded on the responsibility of the Board decisions and the three criteria needing to be met. Hoeft also explained the responsibilities of the Board. Carroll asked Mr. Swan to address the 3 criteria. There was a lengthy discussion, questions and answers between the petitioner, those in favor, staff and Board.

10. Decision on Above Petition (See files & following pages)

- Approval of November 8, 2012 Meeting Minutes (#7 above)

Hynek made motion, seconded by Carroll, motion carried 3-0 to approve the November 8, 2012 meeting minutes.

11. Adjourn

Hynek made motion, seconded by Hoeft, motion carried 3-0 to adjourn @ 2:55 p.m.

If you have questions regarding these matters, please contact the Zoning Department at 920-674-7113 or 920-674-8638.

The Board may discuss and/or take action on any item specifically listed on the agenda.

JEFFFERSON COUNTY ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

Individuals requiring special accommodations for attendance at the meeting should contact the County Administrator at 920-674-7101 at least 24 hours prior to the meeting so appropriate arrangements can be made.

A digital recording of the meeting will be available in the Zoning Department upon request.

DECISION OF THE ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT JEFFERSON COUNTY, WISCONSIN

FINDINGS OF FACT

APPLICANT: Diana L. McClain PROPERTY OWNER: SAME PARCEL (PIN #): 016-0514-1511-011 TOWNSHIP: Koshkonong INTENT OF PETITIONER: After-the-fact variance from Section 11.04(f)2, the Residential R-2 zoning controls, and 11.03(f), use restrictions. A variance is being requested to these sections to allow a use in the R-2 zone that is not listed in 11.04(f)2, and is, therefore, prohibited according to 11.03(f) for the operation of a dog kennel, dog boarding and dog day care. The site is a N1601 W Ra-Le Drive in the Town of Koshkonong. THE APPLICANT REQUESTS A VARIANCE FROM SECTION 11.04(f)2 and 11.03(f) OF THE JEFFERSON COUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE. THE FEATURES OF THE PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION AND PROPERTY WHICH RELATE TO THE GRANT OR DENIAL OF THE VARIANCE APPLICATION ARE: The Planning and Zoning Department received a complaint on the property, and
PROPERTY OWNER: SAME PARCEL (PIN #): 016-0514-1511-011 TOWNSHIP: Koshkonong INTENT OF PETITIONER: After-the-fact variance from Section 11.04(f)2, the Residential R-2 zoning controls, and 11.03(f), use restrictions. A variance is being requested to these sections to allow a use in the R-2 zone that is not listed in 11.04(f)2, and is, therefore, prohibited according to 11.03(f) for the operation of a dog kennel, dog boarding and dog day care. The site is a N1601 W Ra-Le Drive in the Town of Koshkonong. THE APPLICANT REQUESTS A VARIANCE FROM SECTION 11.04(f)2 and 11.03(f) OF THE JEFFERSON COUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE. THE FEATURES OF THE PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION AND PROPERTY WHICH RELATE TO THE GRANT OR DENIAL OF THE VARIANCE APPLICATION ARE: The Planning and Zoning Department received a complaint on the property, and
PARCEL (PIN #): 016-0514-1511-011 TOWNSHIP: Koshkonong INTENT OF PETITIONER: After-the-fact variance from Section 11.04(f)2, the Residential R-2 zoning controls, and 11.03(f), use restrictions. A variance is being requested to these sections to allow a use in the R-2 zone that is not listed in 11.04(f)2, and is, therefore, prohibited according to 11.03(f) for the operation of a dog kennel, dog boarding and dog day care. The site is a N1601 W Ra-Le Drive in the Town of Koshkonong. THE APPLICANT REQUESTS A VARIANCE FROM SECTION 11.04(f)2 and 11.03(f) OF THE JEFFERSON COUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE. THE FEATURES OF THE PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION AND PROPERTY WHICH RELATE TO THE GRANT OR DENIAL OF THE VARIANCE APPLICATION ARE: The Planning and Zoning Department received a complaint on the property, and
TOWNSHIP: Koshkonong INTENT OF PETITIONER: After-the-fact variance from Section 11.04(f)2, the Residential R-2 zoning controls, and 11.03(f), use restrictions. A variance is being requested to these sections to allow a use in the R-2 zone that is not listed in 11.04(f)2, and is, therefore, prohibited according to 11.03(f) for the operation of a dog kennel, dog boarding and dog day care. The site is a N1601 W Ra-Le Drive in the Town of Koshkonong. THE APPLICANT REQUESTS A VARIANCE FROM SECTION 11.04(f)2 and 11.03(f) OF THE JEFFERSON COUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE. THE FEATURES OF THE PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION AND PROPERTY WHICH RELATE TO THE GRANT OR DENIAL OF THE VARIANCE APPLICATION ARE: The Planning and Zoning Department received a complaint on the property, and
INTENT OF PETITIONER: After-the-fact variance from Section 11.04(f)2, the Residential R-2 zoning controls, and 11.03(f), use restrictions. A variance is being requested to these sections to allow a use in the R-2 zone that is not listed in 11.04(f)2, and is, therefore, prohibited according to 11.03(f) for the operation of a dog kennel, dog boarding and dog day care. The site is a N1601 W Ra-Le Drive in the Town of Koshkonong. THE APPLICANT REQUESTS A VARIANCE FROM SECTION 11.04(f)2 and 11.03(f) OF THE JEFFERSON COUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE. THE FEATURES OF THE PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION AND PROPERTY WHICH RELATE TO THE GRANT OR DENIAL OF THE VARIANCE APPLICATION ARE: The Planning and Zoning Department received a complaint on the property, and
Residential R-2 zoning controls, and 11.03(f), use restrictions. A variance is being requested to these sections to allow a use in the R-2 zone that is not listed in 11.04(f)2, and is, therefore, prohibited according to 11.03(f) for the operation of a dog kennel, dog boarding and dog day care. The site is a N1601 W Ra-Le Drive in the Town of Koshkonong. THE APPLICANT REQUESTS A VARIANCE FROM SECTION
to these sections to allow a use in the R-2 zone that is not listed in 11.04(f)2, and is, therefore, prohibited according to 11.03(f) for the operation of a dog kennel, dog boarding and dog day care. The site is a N1601 W Ra-Le Drive in the Town of Koshkonong. THE APPLICANT REQUESTS A VARIANCE FROM SECTION 11.04(f)2 and 11.03(f) OF THE JEFFERSON COUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE. THE FEATURES OF THE PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION AND PROPERTY WHICH RELATE TO THE GRANT OR DENIAL OF THE VARIANCE APPLICATION ARE: The Planning and Zoning Department received a complaint on the property, and
therefore, prohibited according to 11.03(f) for the operation of a dog kennel, dog boarding and dog day care. The site is a N1601 W Ra-Le Drive in the Town of Koshkonong. THE APPLICANT REQUESTS A VARIANCE FROM SECTION
and dog day care. The site is a N1601 W Ra-Le Drive in the Town of Koshkonong. THE APPLICANT REQUESTS A VARIANCE FROM SECTION
THE APPLICANT REQUESTS A VARIANCE FROM SECTION 11.04(f)2 and 11.03(f) OF THE JEFFERSON COUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE. THE FEATURES OF THE PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION AND PROPERTY WHICH RELATE TO THE GRANT OR DENIAL OF THE VARIANCE APPLICATION ARE: The Planning and Zoning Department received a complaint on the property, and
OF THE JEFFERSON COUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE. THE FEATURES OF THE PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION AND PROPERTY WHICH RELATE TO THE GRANT OR DENIAL OF THE VARIANCE APPLICATION ARE: The Planning and Zoning Department received a complaint on the property, and
RELATE TO THE GRANT OR DENIAL OF THE VARIANCE APPLICATION ARE: The Planning and Zoning Department received a complaint on the property, and
sent a letter to the owner. The owner contacted our department and indicated she has had
past correspondence with our department. A letter was sent from our office to the owner
dated March 8, 2005 in response to her correspondence outlining the business as pet
grooming, and the business was going to be conducted in compliance with the accessory
home occupation definition of the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance. The owner is
presently conducting a kennel business with the boarding of dogs for long and short periods
of time, in addition to grooming. The property is zoned R-2 Residential and kennel
operations are prohibited in that zone. The petitioner has stated that there would only be 10
dogs on the property, including her own 5 personal household pets. The R-2 Residential
zone only allows two household pets, but does allow additional household pets with a
conditional use permit approved by the Planning and Zoning Committee. The petitioner
has applied for a conditional use permit for 5 of her personal dogs. The public hearing is on
December 20, 2012. The surrounding zoning of the area is R-2 Residential, and the lot is
20,691 sq. ft. There are no unique physical property limitations on the property. The R-2
Residential zoning has listed principal, accessory and conditional uses which give
reasonable use of the property as outlined in the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance.
FACTS OR OBSERVATIONS BASED ON SITE INSPECTIONS: Site inspection not conducted.
FACTS PRESENTED AT PUBLIC HEARING: See tape, minutes & file.

DECISION STANDARDS

ALLO	OWING A	USE OF LA	ND OR PROPI	ERTY WHIC	H WOULD VIOI	
WHE RESU STAN SUBS	ERE STRIGULTS IN A NDARDS V STANTIAL	CT ENFORC AN UNNECI WILL ALLO	CEMENT OF T ESSARY HARD W THE SPIRIT	THE TERMS SHIP & WH TOF THE O	OF THE ORDI ERE A VARIAN RDINANCE TO	NANCE CE IN THE BE OBSERVED,
BASE	ED ON TH	HE FINDING	GS OF FACT, T	HE BOARD	CONCLUDES 7	ГНАТ:
ENFO UNR PERM	ORCEME EASONA MITTED TRICTION use is a	NT OF THE BLY PREVE PURPOSE O NS UNNECE residence, an	E TERMS OF T NT THE OWN R WOULD RE ESSARILY BUR Id it meets that	HE ZONING VER FROM UNDER CON VEDENSOME COLLEGE ON THE CONTENT OF T	G ORDINANCE JSING THE PRO FORMITY WITI BECAUSE	WOULD NOT DPERTY FOR A H SUCH it's principal
PRO	PERTY R. AUSE petition	ATHER THA it's an R-2 Re er stated "no'	AN THE CIRC esidential zone.	UMSTANCE Limits are se	S OF THE APPI t by the ordinanc	LICANT e and laws. The
EXPI	RESSED I AUSE interest	BY THE PUR it will set a pr is what's in t	RPOSE AND IN eccedent by putt he ordinances, 1	NTENT OF T	THE ZONING O	RDINANCE tting. The public
RIAN	CE MAY E	BE GRANTE	D IF ALL THE	SE CONDIT	'IONS ARE ME'	<u>[*</u>
SION:	THE RE	QUESTED V	ARIANCE IS	DENIED.		
ON:	Hoeft		SECOND:	Hynek	VOTE: 3-0	
OITIO	NS OF AP	PROVAL/D	ENIAL:			
E D :		CHAIRPERS	SON		DATE:	12-13-2012
	NO VALLO LAWS SUBJ WHE RESU STAN SUBS VIOI BASE UNIN ENF UNR PERM REST THE PROD BECA THE EXPI BECA CON: OITION	NO VARIANCE ALLOWING AND VARIANCE ALLOWING AND SUBJECT TO TOWHERE STRICE RESULTS IN A STANDARDS WE SUBSTANTIAL VIOLATED. BASED ON THE UNNECESSAGE ENFORCEME UNREASONAL PERMITTED DESTRICTION USE IS A NUMBER OF THE HARDSH PROPERTY RESULTS AND PERMITTED DESTRICTION USE IS A NUMBER OF THE VARIANCE AND PERMITTED DESTRICTION USE IS A NUMBER OF THE VARIANCE SECAUSE DESTRICTION TO THE PROPERTY RESULTS OF THE VARIANCE SUPPORT OF THE VARIANCE SUPPORT OF THE VARIANCE MAY BECAUSE DESTRICTIONS OF APPORT OF THE PROPERTY RESULTS OF THE VARIANCE MAY BECAUSE DESTRICTIONS OF APPORT OF THE PROPERTY RESULTS OF THE PROPERTY RESULTS OF THE PROPERTY RESULTS OF THE PROPERTY RESULTS OF THE PROPERTY OF	NO VARIANCE MAY BE GALLOWING A USE OF LAILAWS OR ADMINSTRATION OF APPROVAL / DESTRICT OF THE ABOVE WHERE STRICT ENFORCE RESULTS IN AN UNNECT STANDARDS WILL ALLOW SUBSTANTIAL JUSTICE TO VIOLATED. BASED ON THE FINDING UNNECESARY HARDSHENFORCEMENT OF THE UNREASONABLY PREVE PERMITTED PURPOSE OF RESTRICTIONS UNNECES use is a residence, and number of animals as a number of animals as a serious of the property. THE HARDSHIP IS NOT IN PROPERTY RATHER THAT BECAUSE it's an R-2 Respectitioner stated "not to the property." THE VARIANCE WILL NOT IN THE VARIANCE WILL NOT IN THE PURBECAUSE it will set a property of so passion of the property. THE VARIANCE WILL NOT IN THE PURBECAUSE it will set a property of so passion of the property. THE VARIANCE WILL NOT IN THE PURBECAUSE IT WILL NOT IN THE PURBECAUSE IT WILL SET	NO VARIANCE MAY BE GRANTED WHALLOWING A USE OF LAND OR PROPELAWS OR ADMINSTRATIVE RULES: SUBJECT TO THE ABOVE LIMITATION WHERE STRICT ENFORCEMENT OF TRESULTS IN AN UNNECESSARY HARDSTANDARDS WILL ALLOW THE SPIRITS UBSTANTIAL JUSTICE TO BE ACCOMVIOLATED. BASED ON THE FINDINGS OF FACT, TO UNNECESSARY HARDSHIP IS NOT PRENFORCEMENT OF THE TERMS OF TOUNREASONABLY PREVENT THE OWN PERMITTED PURPOSE OR WOULD RERESTRICTIONS UNNECESSARILY BURES is a residence, and it meets that number of animals and allowed uses THE HARDSHIP IS NOT DUE TO UNIC PROPERTY RATHER THAN THE CIRC BECAUSE it's an R-2 Residential zone petitioner stated "no" when question to the property. THE VARIANCE WILL NOT BE CONTREXPRESSED BY THE PURPOSE AND IN BECAUSE it will set a precedent by putter interest is what's in the ordinances, it support of so passionately say. RIANCE MAY BE GRANTED IF ALL THE SION: THE REQUESTED VARIANCE IS IN ON: Hoeft SECOND:	NO VARIANCE MAY BE GRANTED WHICH WOULD ALLOWING A USE OF LAND OR PROPERTY WHICH LAWS OR ADMINSTRATIVE RULES: SUBJECT TO THE ABOVE LIMITATIONS, VARIANG WHERE STRICT ENFORCEMENT OF THE TERMS RESULTS IN AN UNNECESSARY HARDSHIP & WH STANDARDS WILL ALLOW THE SPIRIT OF THE OUSUBSTANTIAL JUSTICE TO BE ACCOMPLISHED, & VIOLATED. BASED ON THE FINDINGS OF FACT, THE BOARD UNNECESSARY HARDSHIP IS NOT PRESENT IN TENFORCEMENT OF THE TERMS OF THE ZONING UNNEASONABLY PREVENT THE OWNER FROM UPERMITTED PURPOSE OR WOULD RENDER CON RESTRICTIONS UNNECESSARILY BURDENSOME use is a residence, and it meets that need. It would number of animals and allowed uses. THE HARDSHIP IS NOT DUE TO UNIQUE PHYSIC PROPERTY RATHER THAN THE CIRCUMSTANCE BECAUSE it's an R-2 Residential zone. Limits are se petitioner stated "no" when questioned about if the tothe property. THE VARIANCE WILL NOT BE CONTRARY TO THE EXPRESSED BY THE PURPOSE AND INTENT OF T	NO VARIANCE MAY BE GRANTED WHICH WOULD HAVE THE E ALLOWING A USE OF LAND OR PROPERTY WHICH WOULD VIOI LAWS OR ADMINSTRATIVE RULES:

BOARD DECISIONS MAY BE APPEALED TO CIRCUIT COURT. AUDIO RECORD OF THESE PROCEEDINGS IS AVAILABLE UPON REQUEST.